RETAIL ENERGY CODE

This document is classified as **WHITE**.

Information is for public, unrestricted dissemination and publication.

I0196 SOLUTION DEVELOPMENT WORKING GROUP
MEETING MINUTES

MEETING	**I0196WG_25_0917**
DATE	**17 September 2025, 11:00**
LOCATION	**By teleconference**

Presenter	Representing	Initials	Role
Lydia Bentley	REC Code Manager	LB	Chair
Anne Jackson	REC Code Manager	AJ	Subject Matter Expert

Full attendee list available upon request.

1. INTRODUCTIONS

The Chair welcomed attendees to the I0196 Solution Development Working Group (WG) meeting.

2. CONFIRMATION OF AGENDA

The Chair invited any additional items of business for consideration during the meeting. No items were raised by attendees.

3. APPROVAL OF DRAFT TERMS OF REFERENCE (ToR)

WG members agreed to adopt the Draft ToRs. No objections were raised.

4. WORKING GROUP AIMS AND APPROACH

The Chair provided an overview of I0196, summarising the work done so far. The Chair confirmed that the I0196 solution will look to enhance obligations relating to the handling of rejections of RGMA Market Messages. The Chair invited AJ to provide additional information on the I0196 as well.

The Chair outlines the proposed solution development approach, where different solution options would be considered in future sessions of the WG. These solution options would each be the subject of a paper, and would discussed as individual agenda items. Solution options would then be iterated upon, ultimately either being discarded or adopted for inclusion in the final proposed I0196 solution. WG members agreed with this approach.

Four solution options were presented by the Chair, with additional information provided by AJ. These options are:

- 1 - Meters & Meter Readings: Process Weaknesses;
- 2 - Validation Rules;
- 3 - Data Cleansing; and
- 4 - Digital Data Repository.

The Chair invited discussion on the suitability of these solution options for inclusion in future WG discussions. Members agreed that these four solution options should be developed over the course of the WG.

WG members provided additional views on how the scope of solution option 2 should be addressed going forwards. CM (Eon) was supportive of working on validation as this presents the most pain points out of the four options for their organisation. DA (Xoserve) acknowledges the importance of validation as area of interest to REC Parties, and provides rationale for why the CDSP manage validation of RGMA Market Messages in the way they currently do. DA (Xoserve) also states that the cross-code implications of validation changes should be considered. The Chair confirms that this is in scope.

DW (SEFE) suggests an additional solution option for consideration, exploring whether Data Item mismatches may stem from RGMA Market Messages being sent to incorrect MAM recipients. NW (Stark) seconds this as an area of interest. The WG agreed to include this as a solution option.

DW (Xoserve) also suggests an additional solution option for consideration, focussing on rejections in response specifically to MAM appointment and de-appointment RGMA flows. AJ offers qualification that this may result in a broadening of the scope of I0196. The WG agrees to include this as a solution option.

As a result, six solution options are now in scope of the I0196 WG discussion:

- Meters & Meter Readings: Process Weaknesses;
- Validation Rules;
- Data Cleansing;
- Digital Data Repository;
- Incorrect MAM Recipients; and
- MAM Appointment/De-appointment Rejections

5. MEETING TIMINGS

The Chair confirmed that they would reach out to members by the end of W/C 22 September 25 to schedule the next meeting of the WG.

6. AOB

The Chair invited attendees to provide AOB. None was raised.